



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,230	09/18/2001	Christopher J. Kelly	INTL-0644-US (P12307)	8306
7590 10/04/2004			EXAMINER	
Timothy N. Trop TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. Suite 100 8554 Katy Freeway Houston, TX 77024-1805			DINH, TUAN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2841	
DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/955,230

Applicant(s)

KELLY ET AL.

Examiner

Tuan T Dinh

Art Unit

2841

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 July 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 14-17, 19-23 and 27-44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 30-44 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 14-17, 19-23, 27-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 6-13, 18, 24-26 have been canceled, and the new claims 30-44 are added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-2, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fang (U.S. Patent 6,084,779).

As to claim 1, Fang discloses a printed circuit board (10) as shown in figure 5 comprising:

a printed circuit board substrate (multiplayer PCB 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, and 24)

a signal layer (a signal layer 12 is described in column 3, lines 62-67 in the embodiment of figure 1, but the layer 12 is the same structure in another embodiment of figure 5), supported by the printed circuit board substrate (multiplayer PCB), the signal layer (12) comprising traces (not shown) to communicate signals not associated with regulated supply voltage (because the traces of the signal layer 12 are provided as

signal lines or patterns connected to a component formed on the PCB and not used to provide power or ground for purpose); and

a supply voltage plane (power path 46) supported by the substrate and embedded in the signal layer (12) to supply power to multiple supply pins of a component (decoupling capacitor 52) mounted to the printed circuit board (10).

As to claim 2, Fang further discloses a supply voltage plane layer (14), see figure 5b, separate from the signal layer (12).

As to claim 14, Fang discloses the PCB as shown in figure 5b wherein the supply voltage (of the supply voltage plane 46) that reduces and inductance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3-5, 20-21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fang ('779) in view of Kan (U.S. Patent 6,265,952).

As to claims 3-5, Fang discloses a printed circuit board (10) as shown in figure 5 and 6 comprising:

a printed circuit board substrate (multiplayer PCB 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, and 24)

a signal layer (a signal layer 12 is described in column 3, lines 62-67 in the embodiment of figure 1, but the layer 12 is the same structure in another embodiment of

figure 5), supported by the printed circuit board substrate (multiplayer PCB), the signal layer (12) comprising traces (not shown) to communicate signals not associated with regulated supply voltage (because the traces of the signal layer 12 are provided as signal lines or patterns connected to a component formed on the PCB and not used to provide power or ground for purpose); and

a supply voltage plane (power path 46) supported by the substrate and embedded in the signal layer (12) to supply power to a component (IC chip, column 4, line 14), see figure 6, mounted to the printed circuit board (10), the IC chip is a surface mounted component having a main body connected right on a region where the supply voltage plane embedded in the signal layer.

Fang does not explicitly disclose the IC chip having supply voltage pins, it is a surface mounted. Kan shows an electrical component (50) having supply voltage pins (55) having an outer boundary connected to a PCB (3), see column 3, lines 28-35.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a component having supply voltage pins in the PCB of Fang instead of the surface mounted IC chip, as taught by Kan, because the pins of the component are directly connected to each of inner-layers of the PCB for reducing noise without using bonding pads, and the wire-bonded is easy to break during delivery that may causes short circuit for the user.

With respect to claims 20-21, 23, Fang discloses a method for a component (IC chip, column 4, line 14) mounted on a PCB (10) comprising:

embedding an associated supply voltage plane (46) in a signal layer (12) of the PCB (10) to provide power to the component (IC), the signal layer being used to communicate and associate with the component (IC),

coupling the supply voltage plane (46) embedded in the signal layer (12) to a supply voltage plane layer (14 or 20) separately from the signal layer (12), and locating the supply voltage plane underneath the component wherein the component is mounted on the top of the signal layer (12).

Fang does not explicitly disclose the IC chip is a high frequency component. Kan shows a high frequency component (50), see column 3, lines 28-30) formed on a PCB (3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a high frequency component to replace the IC chip in the PCB of Fang, as taught by Kan, for the purpose of operating high frequency signals without excessive noise.

5. Claims 15-17, 19, and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirkman ('113) in view of Kan (U.S. Patent 6,265,952).

As to claims 15-17, 19, 27-29, Kirkman discloses a printed circuit board (42, see figures 2-3) and its method comprising:

a printed circuit board substrate (100; 200; 300, 80, 82, 84, and 86), see the sketch on an attaching paper;

a supply voltage plane layer (84-see figure 3) supported by the substrate to communicate a supply voltage (not show); and

a ground plane (90) supported by the substrate embedded in the supply voltage plane layer (84) and coupled to a ground plane layer (82) separately from the supply voltage plane layer (84), the ground plane (90) has an outer boundary, locates directly below a component (die 62), and provides ground connections (by ground vias) to the component (62) mounted on the printed circuit board.

Kirkman discloses a wire-bonded component but does not disclose a high frequency component having a multiple pins connected to the PCB. Kan shows a PCB (3, see column 3, line 15, in figure 1), comprising a high frequency component (50) having multiple pins (55) mounted to the PCB instead of wire-bonded.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a high frequency component having multiple pins connected to the PCB in the PCB of Kirkman instead of wire-bonded component, as taught by Kan, because the pins are directly connected to each of inner-layers of the PCB for reducing noise without using bonding pads, and the wire-bonded is easy to break during delivery that may causes short circuit for the user.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 30-44 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the references cited discloses a printed circuit board (PCB) having a signal layer, and an

embedded supply voltage plane, a supply voltage plane layer, and some other claimed elements, for example, Kirkman (U.S. Patent 6,064,113) discloses a power and ground plane (84) having a ground portion (90) embedded in the plane (84), Buffet et al. (U.S. Patent 6,477,057) discloses a shielding layer (or ground) embedded in a power plane (211). However, they do not disclose or render obvious in combination of the PCB of having a voltage supply plane layer different from the signal layer, the supply voltage plane layer comprising an embedded ground plane to provide ground connection for the signal layer (as recited in claims 30, 42), the ground connections that are associated with electrical devices connected to the component (as recited in claim 38).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed July 15, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues:

(a) there is no teaching or even a suggestion in Fang that "the power patch 46 supplies power to multiple supply voltage pins of a component that is mounted to the PCB" as recited in claim 1.

Examiner disagrees. The power path (46) is a planar conductor that provides a power to supply power to pins of a decoupling capacitor chip (52). Further, it is connected to a power plane (20) as shown in figure 5b by a power via (32). Therefore, the power patch (46) completely meets the claimed invention as recited in claim 1.

(b) the combination of Kirkman in view of Kan teaches away from its combination with a large structure, such as the surface mount arrangement.

In response to applicant's argument that "the combination of Kirkman in view of Kan teach away from its combination with a large structure, such as the surface mount arrangement.", the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Further, there is no evidence that Kirkman teaches away from the combination with Kan. As a matter of fact, Kirkman teaches a similar component to Kan, just that this component is wires bonded. For the advantages stated in the rejection changing the component of Kirkman to a multiple pins are connected as taught Kan.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kammie Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Dinh
September 24, 2004.



KAMAND CONEO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800